

Memorandum

Second Circuit Holds That *Kirschner* Syndicated Term Loans Are Not Securities

August 25, 2023

On August 24, 2023, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals issued its opinion in *Kirschner v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.*, affirming the District Court's finding that the defendants-appellees did not violate securities laws in connection with the syndication of term loans because the term loans did not constitute securities and thus were not subject to state securities laws.

To determine whether the term loans constituted securities, the Second Circuit applied the four-factor test established by the Supreme Court in *Reves v. Ernst & Young.*² The four factors of the *Reves* test are: (1) the motivations underlying the sellers and buyers' entrance into the transaction, (2) the plan of distribution for the instrument, (3) the reasonable expectations of the investing public and (4) whether an alternate regulatory scheme exists that may protect buyers. Beginning with the presumption that every note is a security, the Second Circuit found that the second, third and fourth *Reves* factors favored concluding that the term loans at issue did not constitute securities by relying in particular on the following facts:

- the syndicated loans were offered and sold only to sophisticated investors and included assignment restrictions:
- the loan documents received by the lenders, including the lenders' representations, most consistently referred to the instruments as "loans" and the participating parties as "lenders";
- lenders were protected from risk because the loans were secured; and
- specific policy guidelines addressing syndicated term loans have been issued by regulators such as the
 Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve and the FDIC, rendering the application of
 securities laws unnecessary.

Notwithstanding its ruling, the Second Circuit found the investment motivations of the lenders (though mitigated by the borrower's commercial motivations) weighed in favor of concluding the loans were securities; however, this factor did not overcome the contrary conclusion on the other factors.

¹ Kirschner v. J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., No. 21-2726-cv, 2023 U.S. App. LEXIS 22330 (2d Cir. August 24, 2023).

² Reves v. Ernst & Young, 494 U.S. 56 (1990).

Simpson Thacher

Memorandum – August 25, 2023

2

The ruling by the Second Circuit in favor of the defendant banks preserves the existing legal framework for the syndicated lending market, allowing the market to continue to operate using current practices.

* * *

The plaintiff-appellant may appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States. For additional background on the *Kirschner* case, please refer to our previous client alert <u>here</u>. Simpson Thacher will continue to monitor further developments in this important area of the law.

For further information regarding this memorandum, please contact one of the following authors:

NEW YORK CITY

David Azarkh

+1-212-455-2462 dazarkh@stblaw.com

Alexandra Kaplan

+1-212-455-2774 akaplan@stblaw.com

Arthur D. Robinson

+1-212-455-7086 arobinson@stblaw.com

John Balletta

+1-212-455-3868 john.balletta@stblaw.com

PALO ALTO

William B. Brentani +1-650-251-5110 wbrentani@stblaw.com

John C. Ericson

+1-212-455-3520 jericson@stblaw.com

Joseph H. Kaufman

+1-212-455-2948 jkaufman@stblaw.com

Patrick J. Ryan

+1-212-455-3463 pryan@stblaw.com

Benjamin N. Heriaud

+1-212-455-2361 benjamin.heriaud@stblaw.com

Lesley Peng

+1-212-455-2202 lpeng@stblaw.com

Alan C. Turner

+1-212-455-2472 aturner@stblaw.com

The contents of this publication are for informational purposes only. Neither this publication nor the lawyers who authored it are rendering legal or other professional advice or opinions on specific facts or matters, nor does the distribution of this publication to any person constitute the establishment of an attorney-client relationship. Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP assumes no liability in connection with the use of this publication. Please contact your relationship partner if we can be of assistance regarding these important developments. The names and office locations of all of our partners, as well as our recent memoranda, can be obtained from our website, www.simpsonthacher.com.